C/100/- (4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 2736 / 2005

Petitioner

B.S. Khushyam, S/o Late Suklal Singh
Aged About 53
Asstt. Range officer, Hurtarai
Permanent R/O Chindpal, PO Badgaon,
Teh. Pakhanjur Distt. Kanker

VERSUS

Respondents

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary Deptt. of forest, D. K. S. Bhavan Mantralaya Raipur {C.G.}
2. Conservator Forest / General Manager
Kanker
3. Divisional forest officer / managing Director Jila Vanopaj Sahkari Sangh Maryadit West Bhanupratappur

P.R. No. 2264/05
Presented by Shri Rajesh Shukla
dated 25/5/05

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(57)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... WP 2736/05 ..... **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

SINGLE BENCH: -

HON'BLE SHRI SATISH KUMAR AGNIHOTRI, J.

01/07/2005: -

Mr. Raja Sharma learned counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Arun Sao, learned Dy. Govt. Adv., for the State of Chhattisgarh.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that by order dated 02/06/2004 the Divisional Forest Officer-cum-Managing Director, Jila Vanopaj Sahkari Sangh Maryadit, West Bhanupratappur directed recovery of Rs.74,684/- from the petitioner on the basis of enquiry. The petitioner has filed an appeal before Conservator of Forest-cum-Officiating General Manager, Kanker Circle, Kanker. The appellate authority by his order dated 24/01/2005 dismissed the appeal and upheld the order passed by C.L. Agrawal, Managing Director, Jila Vanopaj Sahkari Sangh Maryadit, West Bhanupratappur (respondent No.3). He further submits that the documents submitted by the petitioner before the Enquiry Officer and the appellate authority were not considered. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner seeks liberty of this Court for making representation.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondents has no objection.

The liberty is granted. The petitioner may make a detailed representation within a period of twenty days and respondent No.2 will consider his re-presentation and pass reasoned orders within a period of two months thereafter.

In view of the foregoing, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Brajesh

SP/FS/2005 - 20,000